Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/848,770	LOOS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	J. Derek Rutten	2192
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowable</u>		
(1) <u>J. Derek Rutten</u> .	(3)	
(2) Adam D. Sheehan, Reg. No. 42,146.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 September 2007	Time: <u>11 AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 103		
Claims discussed: 27 and 34		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		,
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
I. In the		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Rutten called Mr. Sheehan to discuss an Examiner's Amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. Several potential 112 issues were addressed in the independent claims. Also, amendments to the independent claims to incorporate limitations describing the mobile data model were discussed. Mr. Sheehan agreed to an Examiner's Amendment to correct the 112 issues and to incorporate features of a mobile data model into each independent claim.